## THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today (1) was **not** written for publication in a law journal and (2) is **not** binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#12

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

MAILED

Ex parte YEVGENIY SHTEYN

JAN 1 7 2006

PAT & TIM OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Application No. 09/635,549

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on December 30, 2005. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below:

On October 22, 2002, Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) was filed. The Examiner failed to properly consider the IDS according to MPEP § 609 which states:

". . . . The Examiner must also fill in his or her name and the date the information was considered in blocks at the bottom of the PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08B form."

Accordingly, it is

٠ ١

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner for consideration of the Information Disclosure Statement filed October 22, 2002, and for further action as may be appropriate.

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Bv.

CRAIG FEINBERG

Program and Resource Administrator

(571) 272-9797

CF/dal

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS-1109 MCKAY DRIVE MAIL STOP SJ41 SAN JOSE, CA 95131